JUL -7 AM 9: 59 RECEIVED Poor,B

TOWNSEND, TOWNSEND & CREW LLP

Two Embarcadero Center

8th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111-3834 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE** 

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

03.07.2000

Applicant's or agent's file reference 16336-001010PC

International application No.

PCT/US99/19434 V

International filing date (day/month/year) 30/08/1999

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

31/08/1998

Applicant

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

## 2-28-01

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized officer

Borinski, W

Tel.+49 39 2339-8237



## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Ap	plicant:	or ac	gent's file reference	<del> </del>					
1	336-0		•	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
Int	emation	al app	olication No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
P	CT/US	99/1	9434	30/08/1999		31/08/1998			
G	ernation 01N33	al Pa	tent Classification (IPC) or na	tional dassification and IF	PC .				
1 '	•	SITY	OF WASHINGTON et	al.					
1.	1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.				
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.								
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	1	Ø	Basis of the report						
	11-		· ·						
	111		•	inion with regard to no	velty, inventive ster	and industrial applicability			
	IV		Lack of unity of invention	1	really, inventive step	and industrial applicability			
	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement								
	VI	X	Certain documents cited			•			
	VII 🛛 Certain defects in the international application								
•	VIII		Certain observations on	the international applic	cation				
			·						
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion o	f this report			
	01/200				03.07.2000				
Nam	ne and n	nailing	address of the international		Authorized officer	I SOCIATI			
hi All		Euro D-80 Tel.	ning authority: pean Patent Office  298 Munich  +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 6  +49 89 2399 - 4465	epmu d	Thiele, U	TO COMMO CO LO			
					Telephone No. +49 8	3 2399 8643			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US99/19434

<ol> <li>Basis of the r port</li> </ol>
---

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to

	the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
	De	scription, pages:					
	1,-3	36	as originally filed				
	Cla	aims, No.:					
	1-3	30	as originally filed				
	Dra	awings, sheets:					
1/3-3/3		-3/3	as originally filed				
2.	The	e amendments hav	e resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
3.		This report has be considered to go	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have beer beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):				
4.	Ado	ditional observation	s, if necessary:				

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US99/19434

V. R asoned statement und r Articl 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 7,12,13,24-30

No: Claims

1-6,8-11,14-23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-30

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-30

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Section V

1) Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 338 686 D2: WO 98 36095 A D3: DE 44 33 384 A D4: US-A-5 910 403

- 2) The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 18, and claims 2 6, 8 11, 14 17 and 19 23 as dependent thereon is not new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).
- a) D1 discloses the basic concepts according to present claims 1 and 18 of determining the mass isotope enrichment of a subunit from which a biopolymer is formed, and the rates of synthesis and decay of the biopolymer (see col. 2, lines 5 7, lines 20 38; col. 3, lines 9 12; col. 4, line 47; Table 1; chapters III and IV; claims 1 9). The methods include administering the isotopically labelled subunits into human subjects.

Moreover, D1 would appear to relate to the particular technical features of present claims 1 - 5, 8, 15 - 17 and 19 - 23 (see loc. cit.).

b) D2 pertains to an assay for the measurement of DNA synthesis rates which relies on the use of nonradioactive tracer technology. The assays are done in cell culture. Prior to analysis, the biopolymer is separated and fragmented (see page 1, paragraph 1; page 6, line 27 - page 9; pages 11 - 14; pages 37 - 41; claims).

Thus, D2 is prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1 - 6, 8 - 11 and 14 - 17.

c) D3 discloses toxicological test to determine particular external effects on protein synthesis in living material, namely cells, comprising incubating the living material with a <sup>15</sup>N-labelled nitrogen source under standardised conditions for a fixed time and measuring the relative abundance of <sup>15</sup>N by isotope analysis of

the living material or a protein fraction thereof.

Consequently, D3 is prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1 - 6.

3) Dependent claims 7, 12, 13 and 24 - 30 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the feature of the claim(s) to which they refer, involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

The said features would appear to be purely conventional, and the advantages resulting therefrom readily forseeable.

#### Section VI

The intermediate document D4 (publication date: 08.06.99; filing date: 15.05.97) would appear to disclose or make obvious the subject-matter of claims 1 - 30.

However, it is assumed that the priority of the present application is validly claimed. The present priority date of 31.08.98 is, namely, before the publication date of D4.

#### Section VII

- The statement on page 1, first paragraph has no bearing on the invention or its -background art and thus contravenes, as being irrelevant, Art. 6 and Rule 9.1(iv) PCT.
- 2) Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 - D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 3) The sequence ID Nos have been omitted from page 36.